UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 24-14009-CR-CANNON/MAYNARD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

DI		
м	aın	titt.

v.

DAVID ABRAM ZIESEL,

Defendant.			

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON CHANGE OF PLEA

THIS CAUSE comes before me upon an Order of Reference. Having conducted a Change of Plea Hearing, I recommend to the District Court as follows:

- 1. I convened a hearing to permit the Defendant to change his plea in this criminal case on September 16, 2024.
- 2. I advised the Defendant of his right to have the District Judge assigned to this case conduct this proceeding. I advised that I was conducting the Change of Plea Hearing at the request of the Defendant, the Defendant's attorney, and the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to this case. I advised that the District Judge assigned to this case will be the sentencing judge, will make all findings and rulings concerning the Defendant's sentence, and will schedule and conduct the Sentencing Hearing. I advised the Defendant that he did not have to permit me to conduct this hearing but could request a United States District Judge to conduct the Change of Plea Hearing instead. The Defendant, the Defendant's attorney, and the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the case all agreed on the record and consented to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct the Change of Plea Hearing.

- 3. I conducted the plea colloquy in accordance with the outline set forth in the Bench Book for District Judges.
- 4. There is a written Plea Agreement which has been entered into by the parties in this case. DE 26. I reviewed the Plea Agreement on the record and had the Defendant acknowledge that he signed the Plea Agreement. The Defendant pleaded guilty to **Count 1** of the Indictment which charges him with bank robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a).
- 5. The United States agrees to seek dismissal of Count 2 of the Indictment after sentencing.
- 6. I reviewed with the Defendant the maximum penalties applicable to Count 1. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood the penalties that could be imposed in his case.
- 7. The parties agree in the Plea Agreement to recommend that any sentence in this case run concurrent to any sentence imposed in the Defendant's pending state case in Florida 19th Judicial Circuit Court, Case Number 24CF000113. The parties also agree to recommend that the Defendant's federal sentence begin immediately. I explained to the Defendant that, although agreed to by the parties, these recommendations are not binding on the probation office or the District Court, and the Defendant may not withdraw his plea based upon the District Court's decision not to accept these or any other sentencing recommendations.
- 8. The parties submitted a written Factual Proffer, which was signed by counsel for the Government, counsel for the Defendant, and the Defendant. The Factual Proffer was read into the record and has been filed in this case. DE 27. The Defendant acknowledged that he signed the Factual Proffer, understands it, and has had the opportunity to fully discuss it with his attorney. The Defendant agreed that the Factual Proffer accurately sets forth the facts in his case as he

2

understands them to be. I find that the Factual Proffer sets forth each of the essential elements of

the crimes to which the Defendant is pleading guilty.

9. Based on the foregoing and the plea colloquy that I conducted, I find that the

Defendant enters his plea of guilty to Count 1 freely and voluntarily. I accept his guilty plea and

recommend to the District Court that it adjudicate him guilty of Count 1 as charged in the

Indictment.

10. The United States Probation Office will conduct a pre-sentence investigation and

will issue a report for sentencing purposes.

ACCORDINGLY, this Court recommends to the District Court that the Defendant's plea

of guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment be accepted; that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty of the

offense to which he pleads guilty; and that a sentencing hearing be conducted for final disposition

of this case.

The parties shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of this Report and Recommendation

within which to file objections, if any, with the Honorable Aileen M. Cannon, United States

District Judge for the Southern District of Florida. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure

59(b)(2), failure to file a timely objection to this Report and Recommendation waives the party's

right to review, and it bars the party from attacking on appeal any legal rulings or fact findings

contained herein.

DONE AND SUBMITTED in Chambers at Fort Pierce, Florida, this 17th day of

September, 2024.

SHANIEK MILLS MAYNARI

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3